

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

RYAN RANDALL,

Plaintiff,

v.

LINQ SECURITY, LAS VEGAS POLICE,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00338-RFB-EJY

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

This action commenced when Plaintiff, proceeding *pro se*, submitted a Complaint on February 16, 2024, but did not file an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”) or pay the required filing fee for a civil action. ECF No. 1-1. On February 23, 2024, the Court issued an Order giving Plaintiff through and including March 29, 2024 to file an IFP or pay the filing fee. ECF No. 2. The Court explained that if Plaintiff failed to comply with the Order it would recommend dismissal of this action without prejudice. *Id.* at 2. As of the date of this Recommendation, Plaintiff has not complied with the Court’s Order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this matter be dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with the Court’s Order.

Dated this 25th day of September, 2024.

  
ELAYNA J. YOUCHAH  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**NOTICE**

Under Local Rule IB 3-2, any objection to this Finding and Recommendation must be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within fourteen (14) days. The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). This circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address

1 and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal  
2 factual issues from the order of the District Court. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir.  
3 1991); *Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist.*, 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).